

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,613	08/30/2001	Alan Goldsmith	D/A0621	9270
	7590 10/02/2007 e III Esa		EXAM	INER
Albert P. Sharpe, III, Esq. Fay, Sharpe, Fagan, Minnich & McKee, LLP			BEACH, THOMAS A	
	7th Floor 1100 Superior Avenue Cleveland, OH 44114-2518		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3671	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

*****		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/943,613	GOLDSMITH ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Thomas A. Beach	3671		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ma	a <u>y 2007</u> .			
′=	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	х рапе Quayle, 1935 С.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1 and 3-21 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 3-21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	on Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen		_			
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 05/29/07, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. New grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by

Thomas B. Will Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3600

signing below

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3671

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Daniel et al 7,171,372 or Abdel-Malek et al 6,959,235 or Moskowitz et al 6,339,736. Daniel, Abdel and Moskowitz show a method for ordering parts for a machine being serviced within an e-commerce environment, the method comprising: transmitting diagnostic data from a local computing device at the machine to a host computing device via a network; identifying a part to be replaced within the machine as a function of the diagnostic data, wherein when the part is included as a non-replaceable component in a replaceable sub-assembly within the machine, the identifying step further includes, identifying the part as the sub-assembly, and when the part is a replaceable component within the machine, the identifying step further includes, identifying the part as the component (claim 16); determining a part identifier as a function of the part and retrofit information stored on the host computing device; and transmitting the part identifier from the host computing device to an order processing device (fig 1, fig 1, fig 1, respectively).

As concerns claims 3 and 16-18, Daniel, Abdel and Moskowitz show determining other parts within the machine to be replaced as a function of the part identifier, since replacing one part in the machine may precipitate changing another part, and any additional parts to be replaced are identified within the retrofit information as a function of the part to be replaced (fig 2-3, fig 4, fig 2, respectively).

Application/Control Number: 09/943,613

Art Unit: 3671

As concerns claim 4, Daniel, Abdel and Moskowitz show including: transmitting an identifier of the machine from the local computing device to a host computing device via the network, the part identifier and the retrofit information being identified as a function of the machine identifier (fig 2-3, fig 4, fig 2, respectively).

As concerns claim 5, Daniel, Abdel and Moskowitz show the local computing device is a discrete unit from the machine, the method further including: connecting the local computing device to the machine via a communication link (fig 2-3, fig 4, fig 2, respectively).

As concerns claims 6 and 19, Daniel, Abdel and Moskowitz show including: storing the diagnostic data within the local computing device (fig 1, 2-3, fig 1, 4, fig 1, 2; respectively).

As concerns claim 7, Daniel, Abdel and Moskowitz show including: transmitting a confirmation to the local computing device that the part identifier has been transmitted to the order processing device (fig 1, 2-3, fig 1, 4, fig 1, 2; respectively)..

As concerns claims 8, 13 and 20-21, Daniel, Abdel and Moskowitz show the identifying step includes: viewing a graphical representation of the machine via a display device; and zooming-in the graphical representation, via a pointing device (fig 4, 170a, 40; respectively).

As concerns claim 10, Daniel, Abdel and Moskowitz show communicating an order for a replacement part as set forth in claim 9, further including: producing the diagnostic data within the local processing unit (fig 1, 2-3, fig 1, 4, fig 1, 2; respectively).

Art Unit: 3671

As concerns claim 11, Daniel, Abdel and Moskowitz show communicating an order for a replacement part asset forth in claim 9, further including: maintaining the retrofit information on the central processing unit (fig 1, 2-3, fig 1, 4, fig 1, 2; respectively).

As concerns claim 14, Daniel, Abdel and Moskowitz show e selecting step includes: selecting successively detailed illustrations of the product; and selecting the part from one of the illustrations having a predetermined level of detail (fig 1, 2-3, fig 1, 4, fig 1, 2; respectively).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas A. Beach whose telephone number is 571.272.6988. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00am-5:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,613 Page 6

Art Unit: 3671

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 571.272.6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571.273.8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas A. Beach

/Thomas A Beach/

September 27, 2007

THOMAS A. BEACH Primary Examiner Group 3600